

NPDES Stormwater

Technical Advisory Committee

REPORT OF MEETING

**TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 2004
10:00 A. M.
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, FLOOD PARK**

Page 1

1. INTRODUCTIONS AND REVISION TO AGENDA

Self-Introductions were made and no revisions were made to the Agenda.

2. PRESENTATIONS

- A. Bob reported that AB 1546 was signed by the Governor. Richard Napier discussed the process of distributing the anticipated \$1.2 million new annual revenue for a sunsetted period of four years. It is being proposed that approximately one half (\$0.6 million) go to traffic congestion management and one half (\$0.6 million) go to automobile related NPDES expenses and projects. He is proposing that \$0.3 million be given to local agencies for reimbursement of automobile related NPDES expenses and \$0.3 be targeted for special automobile related NPDES Program expenses and projects. Bob said that once the process is approved by C/CAG, he would form a Work Group to propose our work plan for C/CAG approval. A thorough brain storming discussion was held and is summarized by the Memorandum to C/CAG dated November 18th and attached to this Meeting Report.
- B. Bob reported that the New Development Committee requests TAC to perform a New Development Fees Study to survey our membership on how they are funding the new C. 3 plan review and inspection requirements. A Draft copy of the proposed survey was distributed and comments were requested by Thanksgiving.
- C. Jon Konnan, EOA, Inc., reviewed three handouts, 1) PCBs TMDL and Urban Runoff Status, 2) BASMAA PCB TMDL Issues and Concerns for October 25, 2004 Stakeholder Meeting, and 3) BASMAA February 20, 2004 letter to the Regional Board staff Re.: PCBs in San Francisco Bay TMDL Project Report. Jon pointed out that the Regional Board staff's PCBs TMDL Project Report proposes a 90% reduction in urban runoff PCBs loads. BASMAA has several concerns about this report, the greatest of which is the feasibility of being able to attain these load allocations given the wide distribution of sources (most of which are unknown). BASMAA will be pursuing these issues with the Regional Board staff.
- D. Habte reported that the Regional Board e-mail addresses have changed to a new system and his new e-mail address is: HKifle@WaterBoard.ca.gov. He is progressing on this review of STOPPP's Annual Report for FY 2003/04 and should have his review completed by December or January. His initial assessment leads him to believe that his review will not be as good as last year. Many agencies submitted incomplete reports on New Development, mainly not providing sufficient information to explain the answers to project questions.

2. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

PUBLIC INFORMATION/PARTICIPATION – BARBARA EBEL

Bob reported that PI/P did not meet in November.

COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL AND ILLICIT DISCHARGE – CYNTHIA ROYER

Bob and Eva Justimbaste reported that CI/I did meeting in November and that Cynthia requested that a new Chair be chosen for CI/I. Eva agreed to assume that responsibility. CI/I discussed the Training Workshop organized by the County of San Mateo staff for School Maintenance staff on environmental compliance issues. Fred discussed the Alameda Program's Training Workshop on O & M Verification Inspections and plans for the similar training with the Santa Clara Program in the spring. They also discussed the CI/I section of the Annual Report.

**REPORT OF MEETING
NOVEMBER 16, 2004
PAGE 2**

NEW DEVELOPMENT – MATT FABRY

Matt reported that the New Development Subcommittee did meet and discussed the upcoming RWQCB Erosion Control Workshop in Brisbane and the status of Municipalities' Provision c. 3. j Reports containing analyses and proposed changes to site design standards and guidance. Fred provided information on the interpretation of what constitutes significant redevelopment projects. There was a review of the Draft Hydrograph modification Management plan. There was a review of the site design checklist items. Here was a discussion on the Upcoming Municipality-led Tasks to comply with C. 3 and a discussion on stormwater treatment control design guidance. Bob presented the status of AB 1546 and Fred led a discussion of an effort to plan a joint training workshop for inspecting post-construction treatment facilities with Alameda and/or Santa Clara.

MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES – STEVE TYLER

Steve reported that the Municipal Government Maint. Committee did not meet.

PARKS AND RECREATION IPM GROUP – VERN BESSEY

Vern reported that the IPM Group did not meet in September but they are meeting that afternoon in San Mateo.

WATERSHED AND MONITORING – KEN ROBINSON

Ken reported that WAM did meet in October and held a field trip to the Cordilleras Creek Watershed.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

5. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Bob mentioned the upcoming Construction Site Workshop scheduled for November 9th in Brisbane.
- Bob requested sign-ups for the first half year 2005 meeting locations.

6. ADJOURNED

Attachment: Memorandum, dated November 18, 2004 Subject; Draft Report on AB 1546 NPDES TAC Initial Discussion

MEMORANDUM

To: Richard Napier, Executive Director of C/CAG

From: Robert M. Davidson, NPDES Program Coordinator

Subject: Report on Draft AB 1546 NPDES TAC Initial Discussion of Potential Tasks and Projects

Date: November 18, 2004

Background: At its November 16, 2004 monthly meeting, the NPDES TAC discussed with Richard Napier the passage and signing of AB 1546. Richard presented the provisions of the Bill as being a maximum of \$4.00/Yr. added to the Vehicle Registration Fee on all motor vehicles registered in San Mateo County for a four year term, unless extended. The Revenue produced from such a fee would be divided approximately 50%/50% between Congestion Management and the NPDES Programs in San Mateo County. Further, approximately half of that would reimburse the local agencies in the County for current expenses to run these respective programs and the remaining half would go to the general Programs for tasks and projects of mutual benefit to all members. The intent is a benefit returned to source (the vehicle owners). The anticipated revenue for the NPDES Program is approximately \$600,000/Yr. for four years beginning July, 2005. Therefore, half (\$300,000) will be targeted to the agencies and half (\$300,000) will go to the NPDES Program each year. The NPDES portion must show a nexus between stormwater quality and the motor vehicle.

Discussion: Discussion was begun by considering the "return to source" funding ratios. The priority choice was the ratio of vehicle registrations, thought to be fairest. However, it was pointed out that the more affluent communities would benefit more by having more vehicles. Richard also pointed out that it may be very difficult of get vehicle registrations according to agency since the DMV records the location of registration by ZIP Code. Some cities and the County share ZIP Codes. Still, it was felt the fairest method. The other choices were a ratio based on population or a ratio based on miles of street.

After a caution to refrain from anticipating a permanent funding source because of the four year sunset clause, members began brain storming ideas that may be potential tasks or projects for the new funding source. They are listed below:

Local Agencies

Street sweeping
Storm inlet cleaning
Street side treatment
Auto repair shop inspections
Street/Parking lot pervious surfaces
Small capital projects
Vehicle wash racks for agencies
Capital purchases
More used oil drop off locations
Boat harbors improvements?

NPDES Program

Pilot studies
Public outreach
Auto repair shops
Non-profit car wash BMPs
Consultant assistance
Brake pad partnership
GIS development
Hydromodification plan partial funding
Monitoring of BMPs

We were informed that there must be measurable benefits for whatever tasks or projects that are chosen and we must produce performance standards to demonstrate proper use of the funds. There will be annual reporting required and cities must submit invoices with the annual reports to receive reimbursement.

Bob Davidson said he work appoint a Work Group made up of the Chairs of each Subcommittee to work on the NPDES TAC's recommendation to C/CAG. He asked how we can tap the resources of the City Managers, Public Works Directors, City Engineers, Planning Directors and Finance Directors to provide the necessary documentation. The Work Group will work on this.

Bob Davidson also stated that we should coordinate with the C/CAG TAC on the reporting, invoicing and performance standards to assure consistency between our respective Programs.